

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/736,419 12/15/2003 Johannis Gillissen 01901- P0005A 3982 24126 07/27/2005 **EXAMINER** ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC KHAIRA, NAVNEET K 986 BEDFORD STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619 3754

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	
•	ú
	,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,419	GILLISSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Navneet Sonia Khaira	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on June	<u>17, 2005</u> .				
,	action is non-final.				
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/06/04. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graf et al (US 5,257,726) in view of Hansen et al (5,386,922).

Referring to claim 1, Graf et al discloses a dispenser for administration of a pharmaceutical fluid (fig 2) through manual actuation (col 5, lines 21-27) comprising:

--a main body (5, fig 2), which includes an actuator (36, fig 2) mounted movable relative to the main body by means of an actuation force (depression of thumb button) and having an actuation surface (37) which is sufficiently wide to support an average human thumb (col 4, line 68),

--two grips (6, fig 2) extending from the main body (5, fig 2) but does not disclose that it provides sufficient room beneath the grips for accommodating one or more fingers that are not employed during actuation, and wherein each of the grips has a top surface of which at least the greater part is the grips has a top surface which is concave and does

Application/Control Number: 10/736,419

Art Unit: 3754

not disclose the actuation force of less than 50N. Hansen et al however discloses sufficient room beneath the grips (fig 4) wherein each of the grips (19) has a top surface which is concave (surface 19, fig 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the grips of Graf et al with concave grips with having sufficient room beneath in order to comfortably manipulate the device as taught by Hansen et al. It would further have been obvious to configure the actuation device so that the user does not have to apply very much force to actuate in order for the user to comfortably use it multiple times.

Referring to claim 2, Graf et al further discloses the actuation surface (37) is sufficiently wide to support one average human thumb (col 4, line 68). As shown in figure 2 the shallow recess 37 is for one thumb and uses up only half of the surface 16 at the bottom of the dispenser hence it would be capable of applying adequate force on surface 16 with one hand, it is capable being operated by two hands. It would be obvious to use both hands to operate the dispenser for a patient suffering from arthritis so the less pressure is applied on each thumb of the hand and make the device easier to use.

Referring to claim 3, Graf et al further discloses a radius of curvature of the edge along the actuation surface (36) and/or of the top edge along the grips (end of 6, fig 2) but does not disclose it is in excess of 3 mm. Although the dispenser of Graf et al appears to show the radius of curvature as being 3mm, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3754

provide the actuation surface with a radius of curvature in excess of 3mm in order to reduce the pressure on hands of the user.

Page 4

Referring to claim 4, Graf et al further discloses the actuation surface is provided with a shallow recess (37) for accommodating an average human thumb (col 4, line 68).

Referring to claim 5, Graf et al discloses the cross-section of the actuator (fig 2) and that part of the main body (5, fig 2) in which the actuator (36) is mounted is oblong (fig 2).

Referring to claim 6, Graf et al further discloses the actuator (36) and the main body (5, fig 2) are provided with co-operating guiding features (9, inner sleeve, fig 2) extending in the direction of actuation.

Referring to claim 8, Graf et all further discloses the actuator (36, fig 2) wherein the center of gravity is located in the lower half of the dispenser (fig 2, feature 36 placed in lower half of dispenser 5).

Referring to claim 9, Graf et al further discloses a dispenser for administration of a pharmaceutical fluid (fig 2) through manual actuation, at least comprising a main body (5), having an elongated nozzle (7) located on the axis of symmetry of the dispenser comprising two radially extending shoulders forming grips (surfaces 6), a cup shaped

Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

actuator (36, fig 2) mounted movable relative to the main body (5) and having an actuation surface (37) which is sufficiently wide to support an average human thumb (col 4, line 68), the bottom of the actuator having a shallow concave recess (37) with curved rounded edges (fig 5, 15b), the lower section 5b, fig 5) of the main body having a thin outer wall of substantially oblong cross section (fig 5) with slightly convex walls (40b) and rounded corners (end of surfaces 3b, fig 5) having a radius of curvature which seems to be least 4mm but does not disclose the top surface of the extending shoulders being at least 15mm wide and the top surface of the shoulders being concave with a radius of curvature between 25 to 40 mm and the radius of curvature of the top edge along the grips is in excess of 3mm, the bottom of said grips at least partially defining an area for accommodating one or more fingers, distance between top surface of the shoulders and the bottom surface of the actuator being between 50 to 55 mm. Hansen et al discloses the surface of the shoulders (19) being concave with a radius of curvature which seems to be in the range of 25 to 40mm and discloses the bottom of grips having a defined area for accommodating a finger (19, fig 4) and radius of curvature of the top edge along the grips seems to be in excess of 3mm.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the surface of the shoulders and grips of Graf et al with concave shoulders and grips of Hansen in order to comfortably manipulate the device as taught by Hansen et al. Since larger the contact surface of the actuation device, the easier to actuate device, it would be obvious to size surface to so the average hand is comfortable during use in order to assure excessive pressure is not applied by the hand.

Referring to claim 10, Graf et al further discloses a dispenser for flowable pharmaceutical media but does not disclose it contains a pharmaceutical fluid for treating rheumatoid arthritis. It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have assumed any pharmaceutical fluid as well as treatment for rheumatoid arthritis can be contained in this dispenser.

Citation of Related Prior Art

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ritsche (Des. 414,555), Fuchs (US 5,368,201), Farner (US 5,215,227), and Brothspies et al (US 2001/004083 A1) references also disclosed dispensers used for flowable media.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Navneet Sonia Khaira whose telephone number is 571-272-7142. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mar Y. Michael can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Navneet Sonia Khaira Examiner

Art Unit 3754

MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700